(घ) यदि हां, तो क्या आयोग ने इस संबंध में जांच करने के लिये किसी अधिकारी को भोपाल भेजा है;

(ङ) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है ; और

(च) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं

कल्याण मंत्री (श्री सीताराम केसरी) : (क) और (ख) एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रख दिया गया है । **(नीचे देखिये)** ।

(ग) जी, हां ·

(घ) और (ङ) आयोग को भोपाल फील्ड कार्यालय से जांच करने को कहा गया है · रिपोर्ट की प्रतीक्षा है

(च) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ·

विवरण

राष्ट्रीय अनुसूचित जाति तथा अनुसूचित जनजाति द्वारा विभिन्न राज्यों के संस्थानों के अनुसूचित जाति तथा अनुसूचित जनजाति में प्राप्त पिछले दो वर्षों (1-7-92 से 30-6- 94 तक) की शिकायतों की संख्या को दर्शाने वाला विवरण

(क) राज्य	प्राप्त अभ्यावेदनों की संख्या
1. आंध्र प्रदेश	8
2. असम	2
3. बिहार	34
4. गुजरात	5
5. हरियाणा	47
6. हिमाचल प्रदेश	14
7. जम्मू और कश्मीर	2
8. कर्नाटक	21
9. केरल	5
10. मध्य प्रदेश	33
11. महाराष्ट्र	48
12. मेघालय	1
13. उड़ीसा	5
14. पंजाब	13
15. राजस्थान	71
16. तमिलनाडु	10
17. उत्तर प्रदेश	222
18 पश्चिम बंगाल	18
(ख) संघ राज्य क्षेत्र	
19. अंडमान और निकोबार द्वीप समूह	4
20. चंडीगढ़	17
21. दिल्ली	116
22, गोवा	1
23. लक्षद्वीप	1
कुल:	700

Exceeding the Limit of Reservation for OBCs

1745. SHRIMATI MIRA DAS:
SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:

Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleaesd to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that only five States out of twenty five have exceeded the outer limit placed by Supreme Court on reservations for OBCs;

(b) the reasons for granting permission to go beyond 50 per cent reservations violating the Supreme Court verdict; and

(c) the names of the state which have maintained the reservation quota for BCs-OBCs as per Supreme Court guide lines and the states who have exceeded the limit prescribed by Supreme Court?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI): (a) Yes Sir.

(b) The Supreme Court in its majority judgement in Indira Sawhney and other Vs. Union of India and other (Mandal Case) had observed as under:

"the reservations contemplated in clause (4) of article 16 should not exceed 50 per cent. While 50 per cent shall be the rule, it is necessary not to put out of consideration certain extraordinary situations inherent in the great diversity of this country and the people. It might happen that in far flung and remote areas the population inhabiting those areas might, on account of their out of the mainstream of national life and in view of the conditions peculiar to and characteristic of them, need to be treated in a different way, some relaxation in this strict rule may become imperative. In doing so, extreme caution is to be exercised and a special case made out."

It would thus be seen that the Supreme Court itself had visualised certain extreme situations where departure from the 50 per cent rule could be justified and a special case made out.

(c) (i) The following States provide 50 per cent or less than 50 per cent reservation quota for backward classes (SCs, STs and OBCs) in the Sate Services: Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Goa; Gujarat; Jammu and Kashmir, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Mizoram, Maharashtra. Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthtan, Uttar Pradesh; West Bengal and Tripura.

The State of Jammu and Kashmir, Manipur, Mizoram and Tripura are not; at present providing reservation for OBSs.

The States of Sikkim does not provide any reservation for SCs, STs or OBCs.

(ii) The States which are providing reservation in excess of 50 per cent are as follows; Arunachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Meghalaya, Nagaland; Tamil Nadu.

Welfare Schemes for Tribals

1746. SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA:
SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL:

Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item which appeared in the Indian Express dated 13th July, 1994 regarding welfare schemes/schemes of Government not reaching tribals in several states.parts of the country; and

(b) if so, what is the reaction of Government with regard thereto stating the steps taken to provide welfare to tribals in the concerned area/parts of the conutry?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI): (a) and (b) The information has been called for from all the Tribal sub-plan States/UTs. The same will be laid on the Table of the House as and when received.

[*The Deputy Chairman* in the Chair]

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Notification of the Ministry of Food

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI KALPNATH RAI): Madam, I lay on the Table, under sub-section (6) of section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955, a copy (in Engish and Hindi) of the Ministry of Food Notification S.O. No. 374, dated the 13th